United States District Court
Southern District of Texas

## **ENTERED**

May 02, 2023 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

HARVEY TORRES,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
V.	§ 8	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00027
THE OF O WILLIAM AGE A CORD IG	\$ §	CITIE 1101111011101 2122 CT 00027
KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING	§	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	§	
SECURITY,	Š	

Defendant.

## ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 19). The M&R recommends that the Court affirm the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security and dismiss Plaintiff's case. (D.E. 1).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Badaiki v. Schlumberger Holdings Corp., 512 F. Supp. 3d 741, 743–44 (S.D. Tex. 2021) (Eskridge, J.).

Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 19). Accordingly, the Court affirms the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security and dismisses this case. (D.E. 1). All claims in this action are **DISMISSED** with **prejudice**. A final judgment will be entered separately.

SO ORDERED.

DAVID'S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas May 2, 2023